# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL REGIONAL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

ORDER NO. \_\_

#### WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

# FOR MAXWELL PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT MAXWELL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY COLUSA COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Regional Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Regional Water Board) finds that:

- On 1 August 2008, Maxwell Public Utilities District submitted a Report of Waste Discharge (RWD) for land discharge of treated wastewater from the existing wastewater treatment facility (WWTF), which serves the town of Maxwell. Additional information to complete the RWD was received from the Discharger on various dates in November and December 2008, and February 2009.
- 2. Maxwell Public Utilities District (hereafter "Discharger") owns and operates the Maxwell WWTF and is responsible for compliance with these Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs).
- 3. The Maxwell WWTF includes a sanitary sewer system and wastewater treatment plant. Treated effluent is currently discharged to an unnamed tributary of Lurline Creek under WDRs Order No. R5-2009-0009 (NPDES No. CA0079987). The treatment plant is at the intersection of East Avenue and South Avenue in Maxwell in (Section 3, T16N, R3W, MDB&M). The treatment plant occupies Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 014-110-034, as shown on Attachment A, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.
- 4. The previous NPDES Permit, WDRs Order No. R5-2002-0022, was adopted by the Regional Water Board on 1 March 2002. On the same date, the Regional Water Board adopted Cease and Desist Order (CDO) No. R5-2002-0023 because the Discharger could not consistently comply with the Effluent Limitations for ammonia contained in the NPDES permit. The CDO required that the Discharger comply with the effluent limitations by 1 February 2007.
- 5. On 5 August 2003, the Executive Officer issued Administrative Civil Liability Complaint (ACLC) No. R5-2003-0505 for \$588,000 in mandatory minimum penalties pursuant to California Water Code (CWC) Section 13385 (h) and (i) to the Discharger for violations of Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 96-071 (the previous NPDES permit) and Order No. R5-2002-0022. Because the Discharger is a small community with a financial hardship, the ACLC allowed the Discharger to complete a compliance project in lieu of paying the penalty. On 22 October 2003, the Discharger entered into a Stipulated Settlement Agreement with the Executive Officer, which allowed the Discharger to complete a compliance project in lieu of paying the penalty. The Discharger proposed land disposal as its compliance project and agreed to complete the project by 1 February 2007.

- 6. In February 2006, the Discharger requested that the time schedule set forth in the CDO be extended to 31 December 2009 because of difficulties acquiring the land needed for the compliance project. In February 2007, the Discharger again requested the deadline be moved to 18 May 2010, which coincides with the full compliance deadline in the California Toxics Rule. On 22 June 2007, the Regional Water Board adopted Time Schedule Order (TSO) No. R5-2007-0073, which required that the Discharger complete certain milestones and begin operation of the improved treatment plant and land discharge by 18 May 2010.
- 7. In order to comply with the TSO, the Discharger completed a Wastewater Facilities Plan, completed an environmental review pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), submitted the RWD cited above, and made substantial progress toward acquiring land for the project. The Discharger proposes to upgrade the WWTF to include effluent storage and a water recycling site, and will eliminate the discharge to surface waters after the project is completed.
- 8. Subsequently, on 18 September 2008, the Executive Officer issued Administrative Civil Liability Order (ACLO) No. R5-2008-0561 for \$1,617,000 in mandatory minimum penalties for additional violations of Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R5-2002-0022. The 2008 ACLO replaces the Stipulated Settlement Agreement, incorporates the recent violations, extends the Record of Violations through 30 April 2008, and allows the Discharger until 18 May 2010 to complete the compliance project in lieu of paying the penalties.

# **Existing Facility and Discharge**

9. The existing WWTF serves approximately 1,056 residents. The following table summarizes recent influent flow rates.

Water Year <sup>1</sup>	Total Annual Influent Flow (MG)	Average Annual Flow (mgd)	Average Dry Weather Flow <sup>2</sup> (mgd)	Peak Month Flow <sup>3</sup> (mgd)
2003-04	67	0.19	0.10	0.35
2004-05	69	0.19	0.14	0.43
2005-06	66	0.18	0.14	0.35
2006-07	39	0.11	0.08	0.14
2007-08	NA	NA	0.08	0.32

NA Data not available.

Comparison of the average dry weather flows and the peak month flow indicates that inflow and infiltration (I/I) contributes significantly to influent flows during the rainy season.

October through September (the beginning of the typical rainy season through the end of the typical dry season).

Average daily flow for the months of June through September, which consistently exhibit the lowest precipitation and influent flows.

Typically occurs in January.

Additionally, the significant reduction in dry weather flows for the last two years (which have been dryer than normal) indicates that infiltration due to high groundwater may be a year round problem during normal conditions. The RWD states that the Discharger has performed smoke testing on a portion of the sewer system and found that most of the identified I/I problems are associated with building laterals that are not part of the public sewer system. The Discharger has sent repair notices to the affected properties and plans to do more smoke testing in the future.

- 10. The sewer system consists of approximately 4.4 miles of gravity pipeline. The sewer pipes are principally concrete (approximately 65%), PVC (approximately 23%), and vitrified clay (12%). There are no lift stations upstream of the wastewater treatment plant.
- 11. Wastewater from the main sewer is normally routed through a Parshall flume and a comminuter to the influent wet well, from which it is pumped to the aeration lagoon. After approximately three days detention in the aeration lagoon, the wastewater flows through a series of three oxidation ponds to a chlorine contact basin where sodium hypochlorite solution is added, and a dechlorination system prior to discharge to surface waters. Attachment B, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference, is a site plan for the treatment plant. When the communitor is out of service, flows from the flume are rerouted through a bar screen. Likewise, the aerated lagoon can be bypassed.
- 12. Design data for the existing treatment plant ponds are summarized below.

Pond Name	Surface Area (acres)	Approximate Volume <sup>1</sup> (MG)	Depth <sup>2</sup> (feet)
Aeration Lagoon	0.22	0.6	10
Oxidation Pond #1	2.15	1.9	3
Oxidation Pond #2	2.15	1.9	3
Oxidation Pond #3	2.15	1.9	3

Total volume at two feet freeboard.

13. The Discharger regularly monitors treated effluent for pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), electrical conductivity (EC), hardness, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total suspended solids, ammonia nitrogen, and total coliform organisms. Effluent monitoring data for these parameters for April 2004 through April 2007 are summarized below.

		Treated Effluent Results			
Parameter	Units	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	
рН	std.	6.3	10.0	7.3	
TDS	mg/L	910	1,280	1,055	
EC	umhos/cm	750	4,030	1,797	
Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	157	429	285	

Design operating depth with two feet of freeboard.

Total coliform organisms

72

1,600

<2

		I reate	I reated Effluent Results			
Parameter	Units	Minimum	Maximum	Mean		
BOD	mg/L	5	70	24		
Total suspended solids	mg/L	5	220	57		
Ammonia nitrogen	mg/L	0.2	8.1	1.7		

Additional analyses were completed for a single effluent sample taken on 28 November 2007. Results for selected constituents are tabulated below.

MPN/100 mL

Parameter	Units	Treat Effluent Result
Chloride	mg/L	219
Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen	mg/L	0.97
Ammonia nitrogen	mg/L	6.43
Sulfate	mg/L	158
Arsenic	ug/L	1.9
Copper	ug/L	5.9
Iron	ug/L	34
Manganese	ug/L	45.7

There are no industrial or commercial dischargers within the Discharger's service area whose hydraulic, BOD, or TDS loading is greater than two percent of the WWTF's overall load.

#### Planned Changes in the Facility and Discharge

- 14. As noted above, the Discharger proposes to upgrade the WWTF to improve treatment reliability and add effluent storage and a water recycling site. Upon completion of the improvements project, the Discharger will eliminate the discharge to surface waters to comply with the TSO. The Discharger has secured approval for a \$1.7 million grant from the State Water Resources Control Board for this purpose, and additional funding is being provided by the United States Department of Agriculture's Rural Community Assistance Program in the form of a grant and low interest loan.
- 15. The improvements project will consist of:
  - a. Increasing the depth of the existing aerated pond (Aeration Pond No.1);
  - b. Converting Oxidation Pond #1 to a second aerated pond (Aeration Pond No. 2) with a deeper fermentation pit;
  - c. Deepening the Oxidation Ponds #2 and #3 to convert them to facultative lagoons (Facultative Lagoon Nos. 1 and 2);

- d. Upgrading the pond outlet structures to allow variation of the outlet depth;
- e. Constructing an effluent pumping station and pipeline to convey treated effluent to the water recycling site; and
- f. Improving the new water recycling site to provide irrigation and tailwater systems.

A conceptual site plan for the upgraded treatment plant is shown on Attachment C, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference.

- 16. The project plans indicate that an average of 3 feet of sludge will be removed from the existing oxidation ponds during construction. The plans require that the contractor decant free liquids into one of the ponds and dry the sludge either within the ponds or by placing it on a three-acre field south of the existing ponds. Once dry to an unspecified degree, the sludge is to be spread on the field. The RWD did not include a plan to ensure that the applied sludge will comply with the federal biosolids regulations, which include requirements for pathogen reduction, vector attraction reduction, and contaminant concentration limits. Additionally, the RWD did not specify storm water runoff control, the rate of application with respect to nutrients or cropping plans to ensure nitrogen uptake to prevent degradation of water quality. Therefore, it is appropriate to require that the Discharger find another means of sludge disposal or obtain separate WDRs prior to beginning land application. This is discussed further in Finding No. 25.
- 17. The aerated ponds' increased depth and anti-erosion plates will focus aeration to the pond surface, allowing solids settling and digestion in the deeper fermentation pit portion of Aeration Pond No. 2. The two remaining oxidation ponds will function as facultative lagoons. Construction of the reconfigured ponds will involve removal of accumulated sludge, overexcavation of the first two feet of soil, and replacement of that soil at 90 percent relative compaction to form a reduced permeability liner. Design data for the upgraded treatment ponds is summarized in the following table.

Pond Name	Surface Area (acres)	Volume <sup>1</sup> (MG)	Total Depth <sup>2</sup> (feet)	Operating Depth <sup>3</sup> (feet)
Aeration Pond #1	1.24	3.55	15	13
Aeration Pond #2	2.08	6.09	15	13
Facultative Lagoon #1	2.00	2.83	7 to 11	5
Facultative Lagoon #2	2.00	2.96	7	5

Total volume at two feet freeboard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Depth from berm crest to pond bottom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Design operating depth with two feet of freeboard.

The bottom elevation of Aerated Pond No. 1 and the facultative lagoons will remain the same (approximately, 80, 83.5, and 83 feet NAVD 88<sup>1</sup>, respectively). Aerated Pond No. 2 will be excavated two to eight feet deeper to 80 feet NAVD 88.

- 18. The Discharger has been disinfecting treated effluent for several years to comply with its NPDES permit. Disinfection is not required to comply with the water recycling regulations set forth in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations (Title 22) for irrigation of pasture unless milking animals are allowed to graze on the recycling site. The Discharger does not wish to allow grazing for milking animals at the water recycling site, but proposes to continue disinfection and provide treated effluent that meets the requirements for disinfected secondary-23 recycled water as defined in Title 22. Sodium hypochlorite will be injected into the effluent just downstream of the effluent pump station. The effluent will be in contact with the chlorine as it is pumped through approximately 7,500 feet of pipeline to the effluent storage pond. Based on anticipated peak flows, the chlorine contact time will be approximately 44.5 minutes.
- 19. In general, the quality of the treated effluent is not expected to change substantially. Disinfected secondary effluent will be pumped from the wastewater treatment plant to a new effluent storage pond at the water recycling site. The effluent storage pond will cover approximately 30 acres and will provide approximately 263 acre feet of storage at two feet of freeboard. The maximum operating depth of the effluent storage pond will be 12 feet. The RWD states that the effluent storage pond will be constructed from native clay soils compacted to achieve an estimated saturated permeability rate of 10<sup>-7</sup> cm/sec. However, the RWD did not specify the thickness of the bottom liner or construction quality assurance procedures to verify the as-built permeability rate.
- 20. The eastern portion of the 150-acre water recycling site (APN \_\_\_\_) will be improved to create two 37-acre irrigation areas, as shown on Attachment D, which is attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference. Each irrigation area will be planted to alfalfa and irrigated with recycled effluent for one year before the discharge is rotated to the other field. The irrigation area that is not receiving recycled effluent will be irrigated with surface water from the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District canal. The remainder of the water recycling site may be improved to create additional water recycling areas in the future.
- 21. Each irrigation area will have a tailwater collection system that will drain tailwater to a small tailwater pond at the northeast corner of the site. Tailwater will be pumped from the small pond and recycled back to the effluent storage pond via the force main that conveys treated effluent from the wastewater treatment plant to the storage pond.
- 22. Irrigation water will be applied by flood irrigation as needed during the dry season. Application rates and frequencies will vary during the irrigation season depending on weather. Irrigation with recycled effluent will cease prior to the onset of the rainy season (typically October through May). Precipitation that falls on the recycled water irrigation areas during the rainy season will be allowed to percolate and/or run off into existing drainage ditches.

North American Vertical Datum, 1988.

- 23. According to the RWD, the improved WWTF and water recycling site will provide a firm treatment, storage and disposal capacity of 0.332 mgd as an average annual flow, and should accommodate projected growth for 20 years, assuming a 3.6 percent annual population growth rate.
- 24. The RWD included a water balance for the both the current and post-project design flow scenarios to demonstrate adequate treatment, storage and disposal capacity for current demand and anticipated development through approximately 2028. The water balance was prepared based on reasonable estimates of influent flows, I/I, precipitation, evaporation, and percolation. The water balance was used to model storage and disposal capacity during the 100-year, 365-day precipitation event with at least two feet of freeboard in every pond. The model indicates that the upgraded WWTF will provide the following capacities:

Condition	Capacity
Average daily flow	0.332 mgd
Average daily dry weather flow 1	0.224 mgd
Peak wet weather flow	_ 1.50 mgd
1	

June through September, inclusive.

The model shows that I/I is critical to the WWTF's effluent disposal capacity. Therefore, it is appropriate to require that the Discharger continue its I/I reduction efforts, including requiring property owners to correct I/I associated with property laterals not owned by the Discharger.

- 25. The RWD estimates that sludge removal will be required approximately every ten years following completion of the improvements project. According to the RWD, individual ponds will be taken out of service and drained when sludge removal is needed. Sludge will then be pumped from the ponds and land applied at the effluent recycling site or hauled to a landfill for disposal. The RWD did not provide details of how the sludge will be handled and/or treated to meet the pathogen and vector-attraction reductions requirements set forth in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's biosolids regulations at Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 503 (40 CFR 503). Therefore, land application of biosolids at the Discharger's property is outside the scope of this Order.
- 26. All wastewater pumping stations will have duplex pumps. A standby generator with an automatic transfer switch will provide backup power for the pumps. The effluent storage pond and tailwater storage pond will have overflow structures that will allow controlled releases of stored wastewater in the event of an emergency. The water recycling areas will be surrounding by shallow berms, which will provide up to 160 acre-feet of emergency storage.
- 27. Based on a 13 November 2008 inspection, current WWTF operations do not comply with State Water Board Operator Certification requirements. At the time of the inspection, the

WWTF had three operators: one Class II operator and two Operators in Training (OITs). Currently, the OITs are not receiving constant direct supervision and are responsible for day to day operations and NPDES compliance monitoring and reporting. This violates the Operator Certification requirements. The inspection also found that many of the recently submitted monitoring reports contain questionable data. A Notice of Violation was issued on 16 January 2009 requiring the Discharger to submit a report signed and certified by the chief plant operator clarifying the monitoring report inconsistencies found during the inspection. The report must also include actions that the Discharger will take to prevent recurrence the violations.

# **Wastewater Collection System**

- 28. The sanitary sewer system collects wastewater and consists of sewer pipes, manholes, and/or other conveyance system elements that direct raw sewage to the treatment facility. A "sanitary sewer overflow" is defined as a discharge to ground or surface water from the sanitary sewer system at any point upstream of the treatment facility. Temporary storage and conveyance facilities (such as wet wells, regulated impoundments, tanks, highlines, etc.) may be part of a sanitary sewer system and discharges to these facilities are not considered sanitary sewer overflows, provided that the waste is fully contained within these temporary storage/conveyance facilities. Sanitary sewer overflow is also defined in State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ, Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems.
- 29. Sanitary sewer overflows consist of varying mixtures of domestic and commercial wastewater, depending on land uses in the sewage collection system. The chief causes of sanitary sewer overflows include grease blockages, root blockages, debris blockages, sewer line flood damage, manhole structure failures, vandalism, pump station mechanical failures, power outages, storm or groundwater inflow/infiltration, lack of capacity, and/or contractor caused blockages.
- 30. Sanitary sewer overflows often contain high levels of suspended solids, pathogenic organisms, toxic pollutants, nutrients, oxygen demanding organic compounds, oil and grease, and other pollutants. Sanitary sewer overflows can cause temporary exceedance of applicable water quality objectives, pose a threat to public health, adversely affect aquatic life, and impair the public recreational use and aesthetic enjoyment of surface waters in the area.
- 31. The Discharger is expected to take all necessary steps to adequately maintain, operate, and prevent discharges from its sanitary sewer collection system and comply with State Water Board Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ.

#### **Site-Specific Conditions**

32. Based on the Consumer Confidence Reports prepared pursuant to Section 116470 of the Health and Safety Code for 2005 through 2007, the chemical character of the municipal water supply, which is obtained from three wells, is summarized below.

		Analytical Results		
Parameter	Units	Water Supply <sup>1</sup>	Treated Effluent	
Total dissolved solids	mg/L	500 to 735	1,055 <sup>2</sup>	
Electrical conductivity	umhos/cm	920 to 1,200	1,800 <sup>2</sup>	
Total hardness	mg/L	224 to 237	285 <sup>2</sup>	
Chloride	mg/L	82 to 183	219	
Sodium	mg/L	100 to 140		
Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> )	mg/L	2 to 12	0.97 <sup>3</sup>	
Sulfate	mg/L	91 to 133	158 <sup>3</sup>	
Iron	ug/L	100 to 1,824	<b>34</b> <sup>3</sup>	
Manganese	ug/L	40 to 122	45.7 <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>--</sup> Not analyzed.

Based on these data, the community water supply is hard, moderately saline, and contains levels of iron and manganese that sometimes exceed the secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). The prevalence of self-regenerating water softeners, which can contribute excess salinity to the WWTF influent, is not known. The incremental increase in salinity (measured as electrical conductivity) is approximately 750 umhos/cm, which is high compared to other municipalities in the Central Valley Region.

- 33. The wastewater treatment plant is at an elevation of approximately 82 feet above mean sea level (MSL), and the area around the plant is relatively flat with drainage to the southeast east via an unnamed tributary of Lurline Creek. The proposed effluent recycling site is at an approximate elevation of 90 feet MSL and drains eastward via agricultural drains to Lurline Creek.
- 34. The 2003 revised Flood Insurance Rate Map for the area indicates that both the wastewater treatment plant and the water recycling site are within Flood Zone AO, which is characterized by sheet flow flooding with water depths between one to three feet. Flood depths at the wastewater treatment plant may be up to three feet during the 100-year flood event. Similarly, the water recycling site may experience water depths of up to two feet during the 100-year flood event. However, the drawings provided with the RWD indicate that both the treatment and effluent storage pond berms will be high enough to provide more two feet of external freeboard to protect against inundation or washout during flooding. The Discharger has a flood insurance policy for the existing WWTF and will obtain flood insurance for the recycling site.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Typically based on one sample per well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mean of results for 2004 through 2007.

Based on results for a single sample.

35. Surrounding land uses are primarily agricultural. Annual precipitation in the vicinity averages approximately 15 inches, the 100-year total annual precipitation is approximately 33 inches, and the reference evapotranspiration rate is approximately 57 inches per year.

#### **Groundwater Considerations**

- 36. Surface soils in Maxwell area are predominantly fine-grained soils (silts and clay) deposited by flooding of major streams during the Holocene period. Shallow soils at the wastewater treatment plant consist of clay and sandy clay to a depth of 8 to 10 feet below ground surface (bgs).
- 37. The Discharger has been monitoring groundwater monthly at the wastewater treatment plant using five shallow monitoring wells since December 2002. The monitoring well locations are shown on Attachments B and C.
- 38. Groundwater is typically found at depths ranging from one to six feet bgs at the wastewater treatment plant. Based on monthly monitoring of groundwater elevations since March 2004, the groundwater flow direction is predominantly to the northeast. Monitoring well GMW-3 is consistently upgradient of the wastewater ponds and wells GMW-4 and GMW-5 are consistently downgradient of the ponds. Wells GMW-1 and GMW-2 are cross-gradient and may serve as background wells in combination with GMW-3.
- 39. Historical groundwater analytical data for the wastewater treatment plant wells are summarized below. Electrical conductivity and pH were monitored monthly; all other parameters were monitored quarterly.

		Mean Analytical Results				
Constituent/		Ba	ackground We	ells	Downgrad	lient Wells
Parameter	Units	GMW-1	GMW-2	GMW-3	GMW-4	GMW-5
Total coliform org.	MPN/100 mL	3	5	6	85 <sup>1</sup>	26 <sup>1</sup>
Fecal coliform org.	MPN/100 mL	<2	<2	<2	3	2
рН	Std.	7.74	7.82	7.76	7.87	7.64
Electrical	umhos/cm	1,448	1,388	1,749	1,627	1,931
Total dissolved solids	mg/L	858	835	1,035	983	1,098
Total nitrogen	mg/L	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.6
Ammonia nitrogen	mg/L	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.20	0.15
Chloride	mg/L	102	73	233	151	254
Sodium	mg/L	201	205	241	261	210
Boron	ug/L	448	598	544	696	602

The total coliform data set for this well had a single extreme outlier value that was not considered in calculating the mean. Most results were non-detect.

Based on these data, there is evidence that the existing WWTF has degraded groundwater quality with respect to coliform organisms, EC, nitrogen, chloride, sodium, and boron. However, a statistical analysis of the data is required to determine background concentrations and whether the degradation has caused exceedance of applicable water quality limits.

- 40. Based on 14 soil borings completed at the proposed effluent storage pond site, soils are primarily stiff to hard, clay and sandy clay interbedded with 0.5-foot to 8-foot thick layers or lenses of silty and clayey sand. Five monitoring wells were installed at the water recycling site in April 2008. Their locations are shown on Attachment D. A silty sand layer was encountered in two of five monitoring well borings from 13 to 22.5 feet bgs and 8.5 to 13 feet bgs, respectively. The wells were installed to a total depth of 25 feet bgs with 10 feet of screen from 15 to 25 feet bgs.
- 41. Following well development, the five new monitoring wells were sampled in April and December 2008. Static groundwater levels prior to sampling ranged from 4.5 to 9.0 feet below the top of the well casing in April 2008, and from 8.0 to 10.0 feet in December 2008. However, the groundwater elevation and gradient cannot be determined because the Discharger has not surveyed the wells. Based on regional groundwater maps prepared by the Department of Water Resources for spring and summer 2006, the groundwater flow direction in the Maxwell area tends to be eastward year round. However, flow directions in the shallow groundwater may be different and/or more variable due to agricultural pumping, irrigation, and drainage practices in the area. Based on the assumed groundwater flow direction, MW-6 is at the upgradient end of the water recycling site, MW-7 and MW-8 are cross gradient, MW-9 is within the recycling area, and MW-10 is at the downgradient end. There is no well directly downgradient of the planned effluent storage pond.
- 42. Analytical data for the April and December 2008 groundwater sampling events are summarized below.

Analytical Results 1 Upgradient 2 Cross Gradient<sup>2</sup> Downgradient <sup>2</sup> Constituent/ Units MW-6 MW-7 MW-8 MW-9 MW-10 Parameter 7 Total coliform org. MPN/100 mL 70 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 <2 pΗ Std. 8.07 8.07 7.89 8.07 8.13 8.17 7.79 7.67 7.73 8.05 Hardness mg/L 234 197 286 163 302 138 256 364 126 202 403 193 333 **Alkalinity** mg/L 237 468 432 238 444 172 416 491 289 571 235 406 Bicarbonate mg/L 508 527 290 542 210

Analy	tical	Results	1
Anan	/licai	Results	

Constituent/		Upgradient <sup>2</sup>	Cross G	radient <sup>2</sup>	Downg	radient <sup>2</sup>
Parameter	Units	MW-6	MW-7	MW-8	MW-9	MW-10
EC	umhos/cm	1,100 880	741 478	870 812	383 300	1,770 847
TDS	mg/L	672 546	414 275	502 467	208 181	1,000 523
Total Kjeldahl N	mg/L	0.2 0.2	0.5 0.2	0.7 <0.2	<0.2 <0.2	0.3 0.2
Ammonia N	mg/L	0.10 0.10	0.15 0.12	<0.02 0.06	0.06 0.05	0.13 0.06
Nitrate N	mg/L	0.18 <0.05	1.63 2.68	0.98 0.20	0.25 0.03	0.02 0.02
Chloride <sup>3</sup>	mg/L	82.8 11.4	73.7 5.33	7.69 3.71	4.85 5.23	324 9.65
Sodium	mg/L	136 NA	69 NA	49 NA	22 NA	211 NA

NA Not analyzed.

These data show high spatial and temporal variability despite the fact that the discharge has not yet begun. The primary purpose of the data is to provide an understanding of predischarge groundwater quality, which will serve as a baseline to assess post-discharge data. It is appropriate to require that the Discharger continue monitoring groundwater at the new site and periodically evaluate whether degradation has occurred after the discharge has begun.

#### Basin Plan, Beneficial Uses, and Water Quality Objectives

- 43. The Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins, Fourth Edition, (hereafter Basin Plan) designates beneficial uses, establishes water quality objectives, contains implementation plans and policies for protecting waters of the basin, and incorporates by reference plans and policies adopted by the State Water Board. Pursuant to Section 13263(a) of the California Water Code, waste discharge requirements must implement the Basin Plan.
- 44. Surface water drainage is to Lurline Creek, which is tributary to the Colusa Basin Drain.

  The beneficial uses of the Colusa basin Drain are agricultural supply (AGR); water contact

First value is result for April 2008; second value is result for December 2008.

Well orientation with respect to groundwater flow direction is presumes based on regional groundwater maps. This interpretation may not be correct and must be calculated when well survey data are available.

Reported chloride concentrations less than 15 mg/l are unusually low and may be due to laboratory error.

- recreation; canoeing and rafting; warm and cold freshwater habitat; warm water spawning, reproduction, and/or early development; and wildlife habitat.
- 45. The beneficial uses of the underlying groundwater are municipal and domestic supply, agricultural supply, and industrial supply.
- 46. The Basin Plan establishes narrative water quality objectives for chemical constituents, tastes and odors, and toxicity in groundwater. It also sets forth a numeric objectives for total coliform organisms
- 47. The Basin Plan's narrative water quality objective for chemical constituents, at a minimum, requires waters designated as domestic or municipal supply to meet the MCLs specified in Title 22. The Basin Plan recognizes that the Regional Water Board may apply limits more stringent than MCLs to ensure that waters do not contain chemical constituents in concentrations that adversely affect beneficial uses.
- 48. In summary, the narrative toxicity objective requires that groundwater be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life associated with designated beneficial uses.

  Quantifying a narrative water quality objective requires a site-specific evaluation of those constituents that have the potential to impact water quality and beneficial uses.
- 49. The Basin Plan's numeric water quality objective for bacteria requires that the most probable number (MPN) of coliform organisms over any seven-day period shall be less than 2.2 per 100 mL in MUN groundwater. The applicability of this objective to groundwater designated as MUN has been affirmed by State Water Board Order No. WQO-2003-0014 and by subsequent decisions of the Sacramento County Superior Court and California Court of Appeal, 3rd Appellate District.
- 50. The Water Quality Control Plan for the Tulare Lake Basin, Second Edition, contains salt management requirements that have been successfully implemented for several decades. The Tulare Basin Plan establishes several salt management requirements, including:
  - a. The incremental increase in salts from use and treatment must be controlled to the extent possible. The maximum EC shall not exceed the EC of the source water plus 500 umhos/cm. When the source water is from more than one source, the EC shall be a weighted average of all sources.
  - b. Discharges to areas that may recharge good quality groundwaters shall not exceed an EC of 1,000 umhos/cm, a chloride content of 175 mg/L, or a boron content of 1.0 mg/L.

These effluent limits are considered best practicable treatment or control (BPTC).

51. The Basin Plan encourages water recycling where practicable. When the proposed project is complete, the Discharger will recycle all treated effluent for beneficial uses.

# **Antidegradation Analysis**

- 52. State Water Resources Control Board Resolution No. 68-16 ("Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Waters of the State") (hereafter Resolution 68-16) prohibits degradation of groundwater unless it has been shown that:
  - a. The degradation is consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State;
  - b. The degradation will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated future beneficial uses;
  - The degradation does not result in water quality less than that prescribed in state and regional policies, including violation of one or more water quality objectives; and
  - d. The discharger employs best practicable treatment or control (BPTC) to minimize degradation.
- 53. Degradation of groundwater by some of the typical waste constituents released with discharge from a municipal wastewater utility after effective source control, treatment, and control is consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the State. The technology, energy, water recycling, and waste management advantages of municipal utility service far exceed any benefits derived from a community otherwise reliant on numerous concentrated individual wastewater systems, and the impact on water quality will be substantially less. Economic prosperity of valley communities and associated industry is of maximum benefit to the people of the State, and therefore sufficient reason to accommodate growth and groundwater degradation provided terms of the Basin Plan are met.
- 54. Constituents of concern that have the potential to degrade groundwater include salts (primarily EC, sodium, and chloride), nutrients and coliform organisms, as discussed below:
  - a. The EC of the effluent has averaged approximately 1,800 umhos/cm over the last five years. The maximum annual average EC during that period was approximately 1,900 umhos/cm, whereas the EC of the water supply ranged from 920 to 1,200 umhos/cm. Based on Finding No. 50, this is not consistent with the Tulare Lake Basin Plan's established effluent limit of 500 umhos/cm over the source water. This limit was established after a full evaluation of BPTC for discharges in the Tulare Lake Basin. Circumstances and conditions with respect to treatment and control of salinity in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Basin are similar to those of the Tulare Lake Basin. Therefore, the discharge has the potential to cause violation of water quality objectives for salinity.
  - b. For nutrients such as nitrate, the potential for unreasonable degradation depends not only on the quality of the treated effluent, but the ability of the vadose zone below the effluent storage/disposal ponds to provide an environment conducive to nitrification and denitrification to convert the effluent nitrogen to nitrate and the nitrate to nitrogen gas before it reaches the water table. Groundwater monitoring data for the wastewater treatment plant site do not indicate unreasonable degradation due to

- nitrate, and the crops grown at the water recycling site should remove most of the nitrogen in the applied wastewater. However, groundwater is quite shallow at the wastewater treatment plant and the planned effluent recycling site, so there is some threat that the discharge could cause a violation of the Maximum Contaminant Level for nitrate in shallow groundwater.
- c. For coliform organisms, the potential for exceedance of the Basin Plan's numeric water quality objective depends on the ability of vadose zone soils below the treatment plant and effluent storage ponds and saturated soils within the shallow water bearing zone to provide adequate filtration. Groundwater monitoring data for the wastewater treatment plant indicates that the shallow soils beneath the treatment ponds may not provide sufficient filtration. However, as noted above, statistical analysis of the data is required to make that determination. This Order requires that the Discharger continue to disinfect treated effluent. Disinfection would reduce the potential threat, but the use of sodium hypochlorite will also increase the salinity of the effluent and create trihalomethanes, neither of which is desirable. Additionally, disinfection will not prevent coliform impacts at the treatment plant site because treatment will take place in clay-lined ponds prior to disinfection. Depending on the outcome of the groundwater data analysis, it may be necessary to provide less permeable liners for the treatment ponds and/or change the method of disinfection.
- The Discharger has not completed an analysis to determine whether unreasonable groundwater degradation has, or likely will, result from the discharge. It is the responsibility of the Discharger to provide information for the Regional Water Board to evaluate whether any degradation caused by the discharge is consistent with Resolution No. 68-16. Although this Order allows expansion of the WWTF, the growth rate used for the design is moderate and the primary purpose of the project is to eliminate a longstanding permitted discharge to surface waters rather than to accommodate growth. Sufficient reason exists to accommodate this growth as long as the Discharger completes an antidegradation analysis and selects and implements appropriate salinity reduction and BPTC measures within a reasonable timeframe. It is also appropriate to allow some groundwater degradation as long as it is consistent with the Basin Plan and Resolution No. 68-16 because economic prosperity of local communities and associated industry is of benefit to the people of California. This Order establishes terms and conditions of discharge to ensure that the discharge does not unreasonably affect present and anticipated uses of groundwater and includes groundwater limitations that apply water quality objectives established in the Basin Plan to protect beneficial uses.

#### Treatment and Control Practices

- 56. The Discharger currently provides treatment and control of the discharge that incorporates:
  - a. Alarm and backup power systems to prevent bypass or overflow;
  - b. Secondary treatment of the wastewater; and
  - c. Disinfection.

- 57. When the improvements project is complete, the facility will provide the following additional treatment and control measures:
  - a. Improved treatment reliability; and
  - b. Recycling of all treated effluent for beneficial uses.

However, the Discharger has not implemented any source control and the wastewater treatment plant and effluent storage ponds do not incorporate any specific measures to reduce the potential for groundwater degradation.

Additionally, although a certified operator is designated to operate the plant, the operator does not provide adequate supervision of the OITs that perform most operations. Therefore, this Order requires that the Discharger provide the correct grades of certified operators and appropriate supervision for any OITs to ensure proper operation and maintenance of the WWTF

- 58. As noted above the level of degradation that complies with Resolution No. 68-16 has not been fully evaluated and effluent salinity is currently greater than 500 umhos/cm over the EC of the water supply. Therefore, it is appropriate for this Order to establish a schedule for tasks to formally evaluate groundwater quality, impose an effluent limit for salinity that does not allow the effluent salinity to increase, and to develop and begin to implement a salinity reduction program and evaluate additional BPTC measures if unreasonable groundwater degradation has, or will, occur. Completion of these tasks, and implementation of the approved strategies developed from that work, will ensure that BPTC and the highest water quality consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State will be achieved.
- 59. This Order establishes effluent and groundwater limitations for the WWTF that will not unreasonably threaten present and anticipated beneficial uses or result in groundwater quality that exceeds water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan.

#### Water Recycling

- 60. State Water Board Resolution No. 77-1, *Policy with Respect to Water Recycling in California*, encourages recycling projects that replace or supplement the use of fresh water, and *The Water Recycling Law* (CWC Sections 13500-13529.4) declares that utilization of recycled water is of primary interest to the people of the State in meeting future water needs.
- 61. The California Department of Public Health (DPH) has established statewide water recycling criteria in Title 22 CCR Section 60301 et. seq. (hereafter Title 22). A 1988 Memorandum of Understanding between DPH (which was then the Depertment of Health Services) and the State Water Board on the use of recycled water establishes basic principles relative to the two agencies and the regional water boards. The Memorandum allocates primary areas of responsibility and authority between the agencies and provides

- for methods and mechanisms necessary to assure ongoing, continuous future coordination of activities relative to use of recycled water.
- 62. Section 60323(a) of Title 22 states that no person shall produce or supply recycled water for direct reuse from a proposed water recycling plant unless an engineering report is submitted for review and approval by DPH and the Regional Water Board. Irrigation of pasturelands used for grazing is considered a beneficial reuse. In November 2008, the Discharger submitted the required Title 22 Engineering Report to DPH and the Regional Water Board. DPH approved the Title 22 Engineering Report on 25 February 2009. Because the Discharger will not allow grazing of milking animals at the effluent recycling areas, DPH's approval letter recommended that this Order not require daily coliform testing of the effluent because daily monitoring would impose a significant burden on the Discharger. Therefore, this Order does not require daily coliform testing of the effluent.
- 63. DPH requires that the American Water Works Association (AWWA) Guidelines for Distribution of Non-Potable Water and Guidelines for the On-site Retrofit of Facilities be implemented in design and construction of recycling systems. The approved Title 22 Engineering Report describes specific design details for the irrigation system that comply with Title 22.

# **Other Regulatory Considerations**

- 64. The State Water Board adopted Order No. 97-03-DWQ (NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001) specifying waste discharge requirements for discharges of storm water associated with industrial activities, and requiring submittal of a Notice of Intent by all affected industrial dischargers. The wastewater treatment facility has a design capacity of less than 1.0 mgd and does not have a pretreatment program, and is therefore not required to obtain coverage under NPDES General Permit No. CAS000001.
- 65. On 2 May 2006, the State Water Board adopted Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems General Order No. 2006-0003-DWQ (General Order). The General Order requires all public agencies that own or operate sanitary sewer systems greater than one mile in length to comply with the Order. The Discharger's collection system exceeds one mile in length, and therefore the General Order is applicable. The Discharger has filed a Notice of Intent (NOI) for coverage under the General Order with the State Water Resources Control Board.
- 66. Section 13267(b) of the California Water Code provides that: "In conducting an investigation specified in subdivision (a), the regional board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste within its region, or any citizen or domiciliary, or political agency or entity of this state who has discharged, discharges, or is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge, waste outside of its region that could affect the quality of waters within its region shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the regional board requires. The burden, including costs, of these reports shall bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits to be obtained from the reports. In requiring those reports, the

regional board shall provide the person with a written explanation with regard to the need for the reports, and shall identify the evidence that supports requiring that person to provide the reports".

The technical reports required by this Order and the attached "Monitoring and Reporting Program No. \_\_\_" are necessary to assure compliance with these waste discharge requirements. The Discharger owns and operates the facility that discharges the waste subject to this Order.

- 67. The California Department of Water Resources sets standards for the construction and destruction of groundwater wells (hereafter DWR Well Standards), as described in California Well Standards Bulletin 74-90 (June 1991) and Water Well Standards: State of California Bulletin 94-81 (December 1981). These standards, and any more stringent standards adopted by the State or county pursuant to CWC Section 13801, apply to all monitoring wells.
- 68. The action to adopt waste discharge requirements for this existing facility is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality (CEQA), in accordance with Title 14 CCR, Section 15301.
- 69. An Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration were approved by the Colusa County Planning Commission on 4 August 2008 in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CCR, Title 14, Section 15261 et. seq.). The Initial Study describes the project under review as WWTF upgrades within the existing facility footprint and construction of a new effluent recycling site as follows:
  - a. An effluent pump station at the existing WWTF and a transmission pipeline from the WWTF to the recycling site.
  - b. An effluent storage pond at the recycling site.
  - c. An irrigation pump station adjacent to the effluent storage pond.
  - d. An irrigation delivery system.
  - e. An irrigation tailwater collection system.

No potentially significant impacts to water quality were identified and no mitigation measures to prevent such impacts were imposed. Compliance with these waste discharge requirements will avoid significant impacts to water quality.

- 70. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has promulgated biosolids reuse regulations in 40 CFR 503, *Standard for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge,* which establishes management criteria for protection of ground and surface waters, sets application rates for heavy metals, and establishes stabilization and disinfection criteria.
- 71. The Regional Water Board is using the Standards in 40 CFR 503 as guidelines in establishing this Order, but the Regional Water Board is not the implementing agency for 40 CFR 503 regulations. The Discharger may have separate and/or additional compliance, reporting, and permitting responsibilities to the EPA.

- 72. State regulations that prescribe procedures for detecting and characterizing the impact of waste constituents from waste management units on groundwater are found in Title 27. While the wastewater treatment facility is exempt from Title 27, the data analysis methods of Title 27 are appropriate for determining whether the discharge complies with the terms for protection of groundwater specified in this Order.
- 73. The discharge authorized herein and the treatment and storage facilities associated with the discharge, except for discharges of residual sludge and solid waste, are exempt from the requirements of Title 27, California Code of Regulations (CCR), Section 20005 et seq. (hereafter Title 27). The exemption, pursuant to Section 20090(a) of Title 27, is based on the following:
  - a. The waste consists primarily of domestic sewage and treated effluent;
  - b. The waste discharge requirements are consistent with water quality objectives; and
  - c. The treatment and storage facilities described herein are associated with a municipal wastewater treatment plant.
- 74. Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13263(g), discharge is a privilege, not a right, and adoption of this Order does not create a vested right to continue the discharge.

#### **Public Notice**

- 75. All of the above and the supplemental information and details in the attached Information Sheet, which is incorporated by reference herein, were considered in establishing the following conditions of discharge.
- 76. The Discharger and interested agencies and persons have been notified of the intent to prescribe waste discharge requirements for this discharge, and they have been provided an opportunity for a public hearing and an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations.
- 77. All comments pertaining to the discharge were heard and considered in a public meeting.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that, pursuant to Sections 13263 and 13267 of the California Water Code, Maxwell Public Utilities District, its agents, successors, and assigns, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code and regulations adopted thereunder, shall comply with the following:

#### Note:

- Other prohibitions, conditions, definitions, and some methods of determining compliance are contained in the attached "Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements" dated 1 March 1991.
- 2. The Discharge Prohibitions, Discharge Specifications, Recycled Water Specifications, and Effluent Limitations of this Order become effective when the improvements project is completed and discharge to the effluent storage pond begins. The discharge

- requirements contained in WDRs Order No. R5-2009-0009 (NPDES No. CA0079987) remain in effect until that Order is rescinded by the Regional Water Board.
- 3. The Groundwater Limitations, Provisions, and Groundwater Monitoring and Reporting Requirements shall be **effective immediately** upon adoption of this Order.

# A. Discharge Prohibitions

- 1. Discharge of wastes to surface waters or surface water drainage courses is prohibited.
- 2. Discharge of wastewater at a location or in a manner different from that described in the Findings is prohibited.
- 3. Discharge of waste classified as 'hazardous' under Section 2521, Chapter 15 of Title 23 or 'designated', as defined in Section 13173 of the California Water Code is prohibited.
- 4. Bypass or overflow of untreated or partially treated waste is prohibited.
- 5. Discharge of treated effluent to any site other than the effluent recycling site described in the Findings is prohibited.
- 6. Application of recycled water in a manner or location other than that described herein is prohibited.
- 7. The use of recycled water for purposes other than pasture irrigation as defined in Title 22 CCR Section 60304 and this Order is prohibited.
- 8. Land application of biosolids at the WWTF is prohibited except as approved by the Executive Officer in accordance with the General Solids Disposal Specifications.

#### B. Discharge Specifications

 Effective upon the Executive Officer's written approval of the report required pursuant to Provision G.1.e, influent flows to the WWTF shall not exceed the following limits for any calendar year:

Influent Flow Measurement	Maximum Rate
Total Annual Flow	118 MG
Average Daily Flow <sup>1</sup>	0.32 mgd
Average Dry Weather Flow 2,3	0.22 mgd
Maximum Monthly Flow 4	0.51 mgd

As determined by the total influent for the calendar year divided by 365.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dry weather is defined as the months of August through October, inclusive.

- As determined by the total influent flow for the dry weather period divided by 92.
- As determined by the total influent flow for the month divided by the number of days in that month.
- 2. No waste constituent shall be released or discharged, or placed where it will be released or discharged, in a concentration or in a mass that causes violation of the Groundwater Limitations.
- 3. Wastewater treatment, storage, and disposal shall not cause pollution or a nuisance as defined by Section 13050 of the California Water Code (CWC).
- 4. The Discharger shall operate all systems and equipment to optimize the quality of the treated effluent.
- 5. Public contact with wastewater shall be precluded or controlled through such means as fences and signs, or acceptable alternatives.
- 6. Objectionable odors originating at the facility shall not be perceivable beyond the limits of the wastewater treatment ponds, storage pond or effluent recycling site at an intensity that creates or threatens to create nuisance conditions.
- 7. As a means of discerning compliance with Discharge Specification B.6, the dissolved oxygen (DO) content in the upper one foot of any wastewater pond shall not be less than 1.0 mg/L for three consecutive daily sampling events. If the DO in any single pond is below 1.0 mg/L during a weekly sampling event, the Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to correct the problem and commence daily DO monitoring in all affected ponds until the problem has resolved. If unpleasant odors originating from affected ponds are noticed in developed areas, or if the Discharger receives one or more odor complaints, the Discharger shall report the findings to the Regional Water Board in writing within 5 days of that date and shall include a specific plan to resolve the low DO results within 10 days of that date.
- 8. Wastewater and tailwater ponds shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular,
  - a. An erosion control program shall be implemented to ensure that small coves and irregularities are not created around the perimeter of the water surface.
  - Weeds shall be minimized through control of water depth, harvesting, or herbicides.
  - c. Dead algae, vegetation, and debris shall not accumulate on the water surface.
- 9. All treatment, storage, and disposal facilities shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to prevent inundation or washout due to floods with a 100-year return frequency.
- 10. The WWTF shall have sufficient treatment, storage, and disposal capacity to accommodate allowable wastewater flow and design seasonal precipitation and

- ancillary inflow and infiltration during the winter months. Design seasonal precipitation shall be based on total annual precipitation using a return period of 100 years, distributed monthly in accordance with historical rainfall patterns.
- 11. The Discharger shall operate and maintain all ponds sufficiently to protect the integrity of containment levees and prevent overtopping and/or structural failure. Unless a California-registered civil engineer certifies (based on design, construction, and conditions of operation and maintenance) that less freeboard is adequate, the operating freeboard in any pond shall never be less than two feet (measured vertically from the lowest possible point of overflow). As a means of management and to discern compliance with this requirement, the Discharger shall install and maintain in each pond permanent staff gauges with calibration marks that indicate the water level at design capacity and enable determination of available operational freeboard.
- 12. On or about **15 October** of each year, available pond storage capacity shall at least equal the volume necessary to comply with Discharge Specifications B.10 and B.11.

# C. Recycled Water Specifications

- The Discharger shall treat the wastewater so that it complies with Title 22 CCR, Section 60301.225 ("Disinfected Secondary-23 Recycled Water").
- 2. Recycled water shall be used in compliance with Title 22, Article 3 ("Uses of Recycled Water").
- 3. Application of recycled water shall comply with the following setback requirements:

	Minimum Irrigation
Setback Definition 1	Setback (feet)
Edge of recycled water recycling areas to	
property boundary	25
Edge of recycled water recycling areas to public	
roads	50
Edge of recycled water recycling areas to	
irrigation wells	100
Edge of recycled water recycling areas to	
domestic wells	100
Edge of recycled water recycling areas to	
manmade or natural surface water	
drainage course <sup>2</sup> or spring	25

As defined by the wetted area produced during irrigation.

4. Public contact with recycled effluent at the recycling areas shall be controlled through use of fences and cautionary signs, and/or other appropriate means. Perimeter warning

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Excluding ditches used exclusively for tailwater return.

- signs indicating that recycled water is in use shall be posted at adequate intervals along the property boundary and at each access road entrance. The size and content of these signs shall be as described in Section 60310 of Title 22.
- 5. Recycled water controllers, valves, and similar appurtenances shall be affixed with recycled water warning signs, and shall be equipped with removable handles or locking mechanisms to prevent public access or tampering. The contents of the signs shall conform to Section 60310 of Title 22, and the DPH District Engineer's requirements. Each sign shall be in English and Spanish languages.
- 6. Quick couplers and sprinkler heads, if used, shall be of a type, or secured in a manner, that permits operation only by authorized personnel. Hose bibs and other unlocked valves shall not be accessible to the public.
- 7. Any connection between the recycled water conveyance system and any potable water conveyance system, groundwater supply well, or surface water supply source for the purpose of supplementing recycled water shall be equipped with a DPH-approved backflow prevention device.
- 8. Direct or windblown spray of recycled water shall be confined to the recycling areas, and shall not enter surface watercourses.
- 9. Spray irrigation of recycled water is prohibited when wind velocities exceed 30 mph.
- 10. Crops (which may include pasture grasses and native grasses) shall be grown on the recycling areas, and cropping activities shall be sufficient to take up all of the nitrogen applied, including any fertilizers and manure.
- 11. Grazing of milking animals within the recycling areas is prohibited.
- 12. The volume of recycled water applied to the recycling areas on any single day shall not exceed reasonable agronomic rates based on the vegetation grown, pre-discharge soil moisture conditions, and weather conditions.
- 13. Hydraulic loading of recycled water and supplemental irrigation water shall be at reasonable agronomic rates designed to maximize uptake and breakdown of waste constituents in the root zone and minimize the percolation of waste constituents below the root zone.
- 14. Irrigation of the recycling areas shall occur only when appropriately trained personnel are on duty, and the recycling areas shall be inspected as frequently as necessary to ensure continuous compliance with the requirements of this Order.
- 15. Irrigation using recycled water shall not be performed within 24 hours of a forecasted storm, during a storm, within 24 hours after any measurable precipitation event, or when the ground is saturated.

- 16. Storm water runoff from the recycling areas may only be released to surface water drainage courses if the discharge is in compliance with Recycled Water Specification C.14.
- 17. The discharge of recycled water shall remain within the designated recycling areas (as described in Finding No. 20 and depicted on Attachment D) at all times.
- 18. The discharge of recycled water shall be managed to minimize erosion.
- 19. The recycled water areas shall be managed to prevent breeding of mosquitoes. In particular:
  - a. There shall be no standing water 48 hours after irrigation ceases;
  - b. Tailwater ditches must be maintained essentially free of emergent, marginal, and floating vegetation; and
  - c. Low-pressure and unpressurized pipelines and ditches accessible to mosquitoes shall not be used to store recycled effluent.
- 20. Any tailwater ditch used to contain runoff shall be designed and maintained so that all tailwater flows to a single collection point.

#### D. Effluent Limitations

1. Effluent discharged to the effluent storage pond shall not exceed the following limits:

Constituent	Units	Annual Average	Monthly Average	Monthly Maximum
BOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/L		30	90
Settleable solids	ml/L		0.5	1.0
Total coliform organisms	MPN/ 100 mL		23	240
Electrical Conductivity	umhos/cm	1,900		

<sup>5-</sup>day biochemical oxygen demand.

2. No wastewater contained in any pond shall have a pH less than 6.5 or greater than 10.0.

Total Suspended Solids.

# E. General Solids Disposal Specifications

Sludge, as used in this document, means the solid, semisolid, and liquid residues removed during primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment processes. Solid waste refers to grit and screenings generated during preliminary treatment. Residual sludge means sludge that will not be subject to further treatment at the WWTF. Biosolids refers to sludge that has been treated and tested and shown to be capable of being beneficially and legally used pursuant to federal and state regulations as a soil amendment for agriculture, silviculture, horticulture, and land reclamation activities.

- 1. Sludge and solid waste shall be removed from screens, sumps, ponds, and clarifiers as needed to ensure optimal plant operation.
- Treatment and storage of sludge generated by the WWTF shall be confined to the WWTF property, and shall be conducted in a manner that precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or at concentrations that will violate the Groundwater Limitations of this Order.
- Any storage of residual sludge, solid waste, and biosolids at the WWTF shall be temporary, and the waste shall be controlled and contained in a manner that minimizes leachate formation and precludes infiltration of waste constituents into soils in a mass or at concentrations that will violate the Groundwater Limitations of this Order.
- 4. Residual sludge, biosolids, and solid waste shall be disposed of in a manner approved by the Executive Officer and consistent with Title 27. Removal for further treatment, disposal, or reuse at disposal sites (i.e., landfills, WWTFs, composting sites, soil amendment sites) operated in accordance with valid waste discharge requirements issued by a regional water quality control board will satisfy this specification.
- 5. Use of biosolids as a soil amendment shall comply with valid waste discharge requirements issued by a regional water quality control board. In most cases, this will mean the General Biosolids Order (State Water Resources Control Board Water Quality Order No. 2004-12-DWQ, General Waste Discharge Requirements for the Discharge of Biosolids to Land for Use as a Soil Amendment in Agricultural, Silvicultural, Horticultural, and Land Reclamation Activities). For a biosolids use project to be covered by the General Biosolids Order, the Discharger must file a complete Notice of Intent and receive a Notice of Applicability for each project.
- 6. Use and disposal of biosolids shall comply with the self-implementing federal regulations of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 503, which are subject to enforcement by the U.S. EPA, not the Regional Water Board. If during the life of this Order, the State accepts primacy for implementation of 40 CFR 503, then the Regional Water Board may also initiate enforcement where appropriate.

#### F. Groundwater Limitations

- Release of waste constituents from any wastewater treatment or storage system component associated with the wastewater treatment facility shall not cause or contribute to groundwater:
  - a. Containing constituent concentrations in excess of the concentrations specified below or natural background quality, whichever is greater:

Constituent	Units	Minimum Value	Maximum Value
Nitrate nitrogen	mg/L		10
рН	Std.	6.5	8.4
Total coliform organisms	MPN/100 mL		2.2
Title 22 <sup>1</sup>	As specified		MCL

Includes all constituents listed in 22 CCR for which primary or secondary MCLs have been promulgated.

 Containing taste or odor-producing constituents, toxic substances, or any other constituents in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

#### G. Provisions

- 1. The following reports shall be submitted pursuant to Section 13267 of the California Water Code and shall be prepared as described in Provision G.5:
  - a. By **30 May 2009**, the Discharger shall submit a copy of a letter that notifies the State Water Resources Control Board's Division of Water Rights of the Discharger's intent to cease discharges to surface waters.
  - b. By **30 June 2009**, the Discharger shall submit an *Improvements Project Sludge Management Plan* that includes either:
    - i. A complete Notice of Intent to apply for coverage under General Biosolids Order (State Water Resources Control Board Water Quality Order No. 2004-12-DWQ, General Waste Discharge Requirements for the Discharge of Biosolids to Land for Use as a Soil Amendment in Agricultural, Silvicultural, Horticultural, and Land Reclamation Activities) for land discharge of sludge removed during construction of the wastewater treatment plant improvements; or
    - ii. An alternative sludge disposal method that complies with General Solids Disposal Specification E.4.
  - c. By **30 September 2009**, the Discharger shall submit a Groundwater Monitoring Report that completely documents pre-discharge groundwater monitoring conducted at the effluent recycling site in April and December 2008. The report shall comply with the reporting requirements for Quarterly Monitoring Reports set

forth in Monitoring and Reporting Program No. \_\_\_\_, which is part of this Order. The report shall include a report prepared by a licensed Land Surveyor that documents the top of casing reference elevations that will be used to calculate groundwater elevations for all monitoring wells, including those at the wastewater treatment plant and the effluent recycling site.

- d. By 30 October 2009, the Discharger shall submit a Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Workplan if the analysis of groundwater elevations flow direction determined in the report required by Provision G.1.c show that the effluent recycling site's groundwater monitoring well network is not adequate to determine background groundwater quality or monitor groundwater downgradient of the effluent storage pond or effluent recycling areas. If additional monitoring wells are needed at the wastewater plant to ensure adequate monitoring upgradient and downgradient of the unlined ponds, the workplan shall also include those additional wells. The workplan shall be prepared in accordance with, and including the items listed in, the first section of Attachment E: "Requirements for Monitoring Well Installation Workplans and Monitoring Well Installation Reports." The groundwater monitoring systems shall be designed to yield samples representative of the uppermost portion of the first aquifer underlying the ponds and effluent recycling areas.
- e. By **18 May 2010**, the Discharger shall submit a technical report that certifies completion of the WWTF and recycling site improvements construction work, certifies that operation of the effluent recycling system has begun, and transmits a copy of the Final Operation and Maintenance Manual submitted to the State Water Board Division of Financial Assistance. The report shall include as-built drawings of the WWTF and recycling site improvements.
- f. By **30 May 2010**, the Discharger shall submit a *Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation Report* for any new groundwater monitoring wells constructed to comply with Provision G.1.d. The report shall be prepared in accordance with, and including the items listed in, the second section of Attachment E: "Monitoring Well Workplan and Monitoring Well Installation Report Guidance." The report shall describe the installation and development of all new monitoring wells, and explain any deviation from the approved workplan.
- g. By **30 April 2011**, the Discharger shall submit and implement a *Salinity Evaluation* and *Minimization Plan* to address the sources of salinity discharged to the wastewater treatment system. At a minimum, the plan shall meet the following requirements outlined in CWC Section 13263.3(d)(3) Pollution Prevention Plans:
  - An estimate of all of the sources of a pollutant contributing, or potentially contributing, to the loadings of salinity in the treatment plant influent including water supply, water softeners, and other residential, commercial and industrial salinity sources.

- ii. An analysis of the methods that could be used to prevent the discharge of salinity into the facility, including application of local limits to industrial or commercial dischargers regarding pollution prevention techniques, public education and outreach, or other innovative and alternative approaches to reduce discharges of the pollutant to the facility. The analysis shall also identify sources, or potential sources, not within the ability or authority of the Discharger to control.
- iii. An estimate of salinity load reductions that may be identified through the methods identified in CWC Section 13263.3(d)(3)(ii).
- iv. A plan for monitoring the results of the salinity pollution prevention program.
- v. A description of the tasks, costs, and time required to investigate and implement various elements in the salinity pollution prevention plan.
- vi. A statement of the Discharger's salinity pollution prevention goals and strategies, including priorities for short-term and long-term action, and a description of the Discharger's intended pollution prevention activities for the immediate future.
- vii. A description of the Discharger's existing salinity pollution prevention programs.
- viii. An analysis, to the extent feasible, of any adverse environmental impacts, including cross-media impacts or substitute chemicals that may result from the implementation of the pollution prevention program.
- ix. An analysis, to the extent feasible, of the costs and benefits that may be incurred to implement the pollution prevention program.
- x. Progress to date in reducing the concentration and/or mass of salinity in the discharge.

If this report is required, progress in implementation of the plan shall be reported each year in the Annual Monitoring Report required pursuant to Monitoring and Reporting Program No. \_\_\_.

h. By **30 December 2011**, the Discharger shall submit a *Background Groundwater Quality Study Report* for the wastewater treatment plant and effluent disposal sites. The report shall present a summary of all historical monitoring data for each groundwater monitoring parameter/constituent identified in the MRP and calculation of the background groundwater concentration for electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, nitrate nitrogen, total coliform organisms, sodium, chloride, and boron. Determination of background quality shall be made using the methods described in Title 27 CCR, Section 20415(e)(10), and shall be based on all groundwater monitoring events. The report shall compare the calculated background concentration with the concentration in each downgradient well.

- i. By **30 December 2012**, the Discharger shall submit an *Inflow and Infiltration (I/I)*Assessment and Correction Workplan that includes at a minimum the following:
  - Identification of known I/I sources;
  - A detailed scope of work to identify and quantify other I/I sources (e.g., smoke testing, video surveying, manhole surveying, etc.);
  - A plan to prioritize retrofits and replacements to reduce I/I;
  - A discussion of options and preliminary unit cost estimates for correcting various sources of I/I;
  - Identification of minor repairs that will be performed in the field as problems are discovered; and
  - A detailed schedule for I/I assessment of the entire sewer system and completion of both minor and major repairs.
- 2. If the *Background Groundwater Quality Study* shows that the discharge of waste is causing groundwater to contain waste constituents (other than electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, sodium and chloride) in concentrations statistically greater than background water quality then, by **30 April 2012**, the Discharger shall submit a *BPTC Evaluation Workplan* that sets forth the scope and schedule for a systematic and comprehensive technical evaluation of each component of the facility's waste treatment and disposal system to determine best practicable treatment and control. The workplan shall contain a preliminary evaluation of each component of the WWTF and effluent disposal system and propose a time schedule for completing the comprehensive technical evaluation. The schedule to complete the evaluation shall be as short as practicable, and shall not exceed **one year** after receipt of comments on the workplan.
- 3. Except as required by Provision G.1.b, at least 180 days prior to any sludge removal and disposal, the Discharger shall submit a Sludge Cleanout Plan. The plan shall include a detailed plan for sludge removal, drying, and disposal. The plan shall specifically describe the phasing of the project, measures to be used to control runoff or percolate from the sludge as it is drying, and a schedule that shows how all dried biosolids will be removed form the site prior to the onset of the rainy season (1 October). If the Discharger proposes to land apply biosolids at the effluent recycling site, the report shall include a Report of Waste Discharge and filing fee to apply for separate waste discharge requirements.
- 4. A discharger whose waste flow has been increasing, or is projected to increase, shall estimate when flows will reach hydraulic and treatment capacities of its treatment, collection, and disposal facilities. The projections shall be made in January, based on the last three years' average dry weather flows, peak wet weather flows and total annual flows, as appropriate. When any projection shows that capacity of any part of the facilities may be exceeded in four years, the discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board by 31 January.

- 5. All technical reports required herein that involve planning, investigation, evaluation, or design, or other work requiring interpretation and proper application of engineering or geologic sciences, shall be prepared by or under the direction of persons registered to practice in California pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 6735, 7835, and 7835.1. To demonstrate compliance with Sections 415 and 3065 of Title 16, CCR, all technical reports must contain a statement of the qualifications of the responsible registered professional(s). As required by these laws, completed technical reports must bear the signature(s) and seal(s) of the registered professional(s) in a manner such that all work can be clearly attributed to the professional responsible for the work.
- 6. The Discharger shall comply with the Monitoring and Reporting Program No. \_\_\_, which is part of this Order, and any revisions thereto as ordered by the Executive Officer.
- 7. The Discharger shall comply with the "Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements", dated 1 March 1991, which are attached hereto and made part of this Order by reference. This attachment and its individual paragraphs are commonly referenced as "Standard Provision(s)."
- 8. The Discharger shall submit to the Regional Water Board on or before each compliance report due date, the specified document or, if appropriate, a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific schedule date and task. If noncompliance is being reported, then the Discharge shall state the reasons for such noncompliance and provide an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board in writing when it returns to compliance with the time schedule.
- 9. The Discharger shall use the best practicable cost-effective control technique(s) including proper operation and maintenance, to comply with this Order.
- 10. The Discharger shall provide certified wastewater treatment plant operators in accordance with Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, Division 3, Chapter 26.
- 11. As described in the Standard Provisions, the Discharger shall report promptly to the Regional Water Board any material change or proposed change in the character, location, or volume of the discharge.
- 12. The Discharger shall report to the Regional Water Board any toxic chemical release data it reports to the State Emergency Response Commission within 15 days of reporting the data to the Commission pursuant to section 313 of the "Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act of 1986."
- 13. The Discharger shall comply with the requirements of the Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements (General WDRs) for Sanitary Sewer Systems (Water Quality Order No. 2006-0003), the Revised General WDRs Monitoring and Reporting Program (Water Quality Order No. 2008-0002-EXEC), and any subsequent revisions

thereto. Upon the reduction, loss, or failure of the sanitary sewer system resulting in a sanitary sewer overflow, the Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board in accordance with Water Quality Order No. 2008-0002-EXEC and take any necessary remedial action to (a) control or limit the volume of sewage discharged, (b) terminate the sewage discharge as rapidly as possible, and (c) recover as much as possible of the sewage discharged (including wash down water) for proper disposal. The Discharger shall implement all applicable remedial actions including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. Interception and rerouting of sewage flows around the sewage line failure;
- b. Vacuum truck recovery of sanitary sewer overflows and wash down water;
- Use of portable aerators where complete recovery of the sanitary sewer overflows are not practicable and where severe oxygen depletion is expected in surface waters; and
- d. Cleanup of sewage-related debris at the overflow site.
- 14. The Discharger shall not allow pollutant-free wastewater to be discharged into the wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal system in amounts that significantly diminish the system's capability to comply with this Order. Pollutant-free wastewater means rainfall, groundwater, cooling waters, and condensates that are essentially free of pollutants.
- 15. In the event of any change in control or ownership of the WWTF, the Discharger must notify the succeeding owner or operator of the existence of this Order by letter, a copy of which shall be immediately forwarded to this office. To assume operation as Discharger under this Order, the succeeding owner or operator must apply in writing to the Executive Officer requesting transfer of the Order. The request must contain the requesting entity's full legal name, the state of incorporation if a corporation, the name and address and telephone number of the persons responsible for contact with the Regional Water Board, and a statement. The statement shall comply with the signatory paragraph of Standard Provision B.3 and state that the new owner or operator assumes full responsibility for compliance with this Order. Failure to submit the request shall be considered a discharge without requirements, a violation of the California Water Code. Transfer shall be approved or disapproved by the Executive Officer.
- 16. The Discharger shall comply with all conditions of this Order, including timely submittal of technical and monitoring reports as directed by the Executive Officer. Violations may result in enforcement action, including Regional Water Board or court orders requiring corrective action or imposing civil monetary liability, or in revision or recession of this Order.
- 17. A copy of this Order shall be kept at the discharge facility for reference by operating personnel. Key operating personnel shall be familiar with its contents.

18. The Regional Water Board will review this Order periodically and will revise requirements when necessary.

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and
correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board,
Central Valley Region, on

PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

ALO: 2/26/09